

Intermediate statistics as a consequence of deformed algebra

A Lavagno^{1,2} and P Narayana Swamy³

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, I-10129, Italy.*

²*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Torino, I-10126, Italy.*

³*Department of Physics, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, IL 62026, U.S.A.*

Abstract

We present a formulation of the deformed oscillator algebra which leads to intermediate statistics as a continuous interpolation between the Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac statistics. It is deduced that a generalized permutation or exchange symmetry leads to the introduction of the basic number and it is then established that this in turn leads to the deformed algebra of oscillators. We obtain the mean occupation number describing the particles obeying intermediate statistics which thus establishes the interpolating statistics and describe boson like and fermion like particles obeying intermediate statistics. We also obtain an expression for the mean occupation number in terms of an infinite continued fraction, thus clarifying successive approximations.

1 Introduction

The intermediate statistics that continuously interpolates between the Bose-Einstein statistics (BE) and the Fermi-Dirac statistics (FD) is applicable to some particles often referred to as anyons [1]. The ensemble of such particles has been of great interest in the study of many topics such as Chern-Simons [2] gauge theory of fields, Aharonov-Bohm effect [3], the second virial coefficient, the braid group [4], fractional statistics and anyon superconductivity [5]. The study by Arovas et al. in Ref.[6] arising from an exact two-particle partition function leads to a computation of the second virial coefficient valid for low densities and/or high temperatures. The work in Ref.[7] outlines the consequences of an appropriately approximate distribution function for the anyons (intermediate statistics) and examines various properties of the thermostatics of interpolating statistics such as the partition function, entropy,

pressure, internal energy, the various virial coefficients and the specific heat of anyons compared to the properties of standard bosons or fermions.

More recently, the same subject has been examined from the point of view of detailed balance [8]. Employing standard methods of statistical physics, the theory of exchange symmetry has been shown in this work to lead to a continuous interpolation between Bose and Fermi statistics. The basic numbers arise naturally in this theory as a consequence of exchange or permutation symmetry. This theory has many interesting features, one of them being that this formulation is not restricted to $2 + 1$ space-time dimensions. Indeed such a formulation leads to a mean occupation number expressed as an infinite continued fraction so that the meaning of successive approximations is well clarified.

There is another generalization of the standard thermostatics that has been studied extensively in the literature and this has to do with the theory of q -deformed quantum oscillators or quantum groups [9,10]. It has been shown that a complete formulation of generalized thermostatics of q -bosons and q -fermions can be established using basic numbers with the base q and employing the q -calculus based on the Jackson Derivative (JD). The thermodynamic functions such as entropy, pressure, internal energy, specific heat etc. of such deformed systems have been studied and compared with standard bosons and fermions [11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]. The method of detailed balance may be employed for the purpose of establishing an intermediate statistics and this is known to require the use of basic numbers or bracket numbers. Such bracket numbers arise naturally in the q -deformed algebra of harmonic oscillators in such a manner that the limit of $q \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to the boson and fermion oscillators. However, the task of establishing the equivalence of a deformed algebra and the description of intermediate statistics has been an outstanding problem. A basic problem, as has been pointed out in the literature [21,22] is that the oscillator algebra is valid in any number of dimensions while anyons arise only in two space dimensions. However, it is quite possible that intermediate statistics may indeed be different from that of anyons. The legitimate question accordingly arises naturally: what kind of deformation can successfully describe interpolating statistics?

In the present work, we shall seek a fresh attempt at formulating the intermediate statistics in the framework of a q -deformed oscillator algebra. We shall present the basic formulation to illustrate the equivalence between intermediate statistics and the deformed algebra of oscillators. Due to the special issues arising in two dimensions, we shall restrict our formulation to the intermediate statistics applicable to particles which may indeed differ from the anyons in the literature. Section 2 introduces the idea of a basic number, i.e., we establish the fact that a general kind of permutation implies the existence of the basic number. We believe that this demonstration has so far been an outstanding

problem in the literature. We devote Section 3 to the demonstration that the basic number naturally implies a deformed algebra. We study the intermediate statistics of particles in Section 4, specifically the mean occupation number for ensembles of such particles. We also briefly study boson like and fermion like intermediate statistics here. As for the consequences in thermostatics of such a deformation, we shall simply refer to earlier work [7] which is based on the first approximation of the occupation number, while it must be pointed out that the occupation number dealt with in the present work is exact. We illustrate the nature of approximations by expressing the mean occupation number in intermediate statistics as an infinite continued fraction in Section 5. We present a brief summary in Section 6.

2 Deformed κ -permutation implies existence of the basic number

We need to briefly summarize the procedure for dealing with many particles and the quantum probabilities, according to the method developed by Feynman [23]. Feynman's method pays attention to the special rules for the interference that occurs in processes with identical particles and considers in detail the direct and exchange amplitudes. Such attention is necessary for the determination of the enhancement factor of $(N + 1)$ particles for bosons, which measures the probability of an additional boson from a state of n boson particles. We proceed as follows.

The operation of permutation or exchange of the coordinates of N particle wave function results in multiplication by the statistics determining parameter κ , which may be a complex number in general, with the property $|\kappa|^2 = 1$. In the following, for simplicity, we will limit ourselves to consider spinless particle although the results can be easily extended to the general case. We shall begin with the two particle state wave function defined in terms of single particle wave functions

$$\psi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_2}}(\psi_a(r_1)\psi_b(r_2) + \kappa \psi_a(r_2)\psi_b(r_1)), \quad (1)$$

and the corresponding probability density associated with the two particle state:

$$P^{(2)} = \frac{1}{N_2} (\psi_a(r_1)\psi_b(r_2) + \kappa \psi_a(r_2)\psi_b(r_1))^* \times (\psi_a(r_1)\psi_b(r_2) + \kappa \psi_a(r_2)\psi_b(r_1)), \quad (2)$$

according to Feynman's method. Here, $N_2 = 1 + |\kappa|^2 = 2$ for the two particle state.

In the case of identical particles, the process in which the particle with quantum numbers a going into r_1 and b going into r_2 cannot be distinguished from the exchange process in which a goes into r_2 and b goes into r_1 . Thus, for simplicity, we set in the following $r_1 = r_2$ and the probability density for identical particles reduces to

$$P^{(2)} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N_2}(1 + \kappa^{-1})(1 + \kappa) \Pi_2, \quad (3)$$

where $\Pi_2 = |\psi_a|^2 |\psi_b|^2$.

We can thus express the probability density associated with the two particle state in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \kappa^{-1})(1 + \kappa) \Pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1 + \kappa + \kappa^{-1}) \Pi_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2 + [2]) \Pi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we have introduced the basic number or bracket number $[x]$ in the last step [24]. We shall employ the basic numbers in the symmetric formulation, accordingly defined by the general form:

$$[x] = \frac{\kappa^x - \kappa^{-x}}{\kappa - \kappa^{-1}}, \quad (5)$$

Concomitantly, we can introduce the operator form

$$[N] = \frac{\kappa^N - \kappa^{-N}}{\kappa - \kappa^{-1}}, \quad (6)$$

where $[N]$ is not the number operator but N is. It is well-known that the basic number $[n]$ defined above is the sum of the geometric series and thus we may also frequently employ the series form

$$[n] = \kappa^{-n+1} + \kappa^{-n+3} + \dots + \kappa^{n-3} + \kappa^{n-1}. \quad (7)$$

The parameter κ is in general a complex number, and at this point we may express it as $\kappa = e^{i\alpha}$ where α is a real number. The statistics determining parameter takes the values $\kappa = \pm 1$ corresponding to $\alpha = 0, \pi$ which define the Bose-Einstein (BE) and Fermi-Dirac (FD) cases respectively. The parameter has the properties $\kappa^* = \kappa^{-1}$, $|\kappa| = 1$.

The above form for the probability, as in Eq.(4) associated with the two particle state is correct since $[2] = \kappa + \kappa^{-1}$ is true. It is evident that the probability is real as stated in Eq.(4), as will be observed to be the case for probabilities

of multiparticle states. The wave function, Eq.(1) contains both the direct and the exchange terms, and it is the exchange term which contains the factor κ . In the limit when $\kappa \rightarrow 1$ it reduces to the standard Bose case and reduces to the Fermi case when $\kappa \rightarrow -1$.

Next we consider the three particle state defined by

$$\psi^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_3}} (a_1 b_2 c_3 + \kappa a_1 b_3 c_2 + \kappa a_2 b_1 c_3 + \kappa^2 a_3 b_1 c_2 + \kappa^2 a_2 b_3 c_1 + \kappa^3 a_3 b_2 c_1), \quad (8)$$

where we have used the abbreviation $a_1 = \psi_a(r_1)$, $b_2 = \psi_b(r_2)$, $c_3 = \psi_c(r_3)$ in the first term of the bracket and consequent permutation of the indexes in the following terms. In this case we observe that the normalization is given by $N_3 = 1 + 2|\kappa|^2 + 2|\kappa|^4 + |\kappa|^6 = 1 + 2 + 2 + 1 = 6 = 3!$. We may now compute the probability density for the state to be

$$P^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} (a_1 b_2 c_3 + \kappa a_1 b_3 c_2 + \dots)^* (a_1 b_2 c_3 + \kappa a_1 b_3 c_2 + \dots). \quad (9)$$

Specializing to the case of identical particles (and setting as before $r_1 = r_2 = r_3$), the probability density reduces to

$$P^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} (1 + 2\kappa^{-1} + 2\kappa^{-2} + \kappa^{-3}) (1 + 2\kappa + 2\kappa^2 + \kappa^3) \Pi_3, \quad (10)$$

where, analogously as before, we have defined $\Pi_3 = |a|^2 |b|^2 |c|^2$. Again, we write in terms of the basic numbers by using, $[2] = \kappa + \kappa^{-1}$, $[3] = \kappa^2 + \kappa^{-2} + 1$, $[4] = \kappa^3 + \kappa + \kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{-3}$ etc. with $[n]$ given by Eq.(7). We may thus re-express the above probability density as

$$P^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} (6 + 7[2] + 4[3] + [4]) \Pi_3, \quad (11)$$

and we may also express it in the convenient and useful form

$$P^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} (2 + [2]) (2 + 2[2] + [3]) \Pi_3. \quad (12)$$

To establish the equivalence of the above two forms, we first need to obtain some formulae. Consider the sum

$$[1] + [3] + [5] + \dots + [2n - 1]. \quad (13)$$

Since $\kappa = e^{i\alpha}$, we may express the basic number in terms of the trigonometric functions

$$[n] = \frac{\kappa^n - \kappa^{-n}}{\kappa - \kappa^{-1}} = \frac{\sin n\alpha}{\sin \alpha}. \quad (14)$$

Let us remark that, although the parameter κ can be in general a complex number, the basic number $[n]$ is a real number (if n is a real number).

The above series may accordingly be written as

$$[1] + [3] + [5] + \cdots + [2n-1] = \frac{\sin \alpha + \sin 3\alpha + \cdots + \sin(2n-1)\alpha}{\sin \alpha}. \quad (15)$$

We can now sum the series of the sine functions by employing the identity [25]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sin(2k-1)\alpha = \frac{\sin^2 n\alpha}{\sin \alpha}. \quad (16)$$

Thus we obtain

$$[1] + [3] + [5] + \cdots + [2n-1] = \frac{\sin^2 n\alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} = [n][n], \quad (17)$$

and hence we derive the important formula:

$$[n][n] = [1] + [3] + [5] + \cdots + [2n-1]. \quad (18)$$

Employing this formula, we see that the form of the probability density $P^{(3)}$ in Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) are clearly the same. In a similar manner, we can also establish the following result:

$$[1][2] = [2], [2][3] = [2] + [4], [3][4] = [2] + [4] + [6], \cdots, \quad (19)$$

and more generally for any n :

$$[n-1][n] = [2] + [4] + [6] + \cdots + [2(n-1)]. \quad (20)$$

Next we can perform the same exercise for the four-particle state. After much algebra, we determine the probability density associated with the four particle states (a, b, c, d) to be

$$P^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4!} (2 + [2])(2 + 2[2] + [3])(2 + 2[2] + 2[3] + [4]) \Pi_4, \quad (21)$$

where $\Pi_4 = |a|^2|b|^2|c|^2|d|^2$.

This enables us to generalize to the case of N -particle states $(a, b, c, \dots)$. Thus we finally determine

$$P^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N!} (2 + [2])(2 + 2[2] + [3])(2 + 2[2] + 2[3] + [4]) \cdots (2 + 2[2] + 2[3] + \cdots + 2[N-1] + [N]) \Pi_N, \quad (22)$$

where $\Pi_N = |a|^2|b|^2|c|^2 \cdots$ and we have thus obtained the probability density for the N -particle state in the desired closed form.

Finally, let us consider the BE and FD limits. If we take the limit $\kappa \rightarrow +1$, we find $P^{(2)} \rightarrow 2! \Pi_2$; $P^{(3)} \rightarrow 3! \Pi_3$; $P^{(4)} \rightarrow 4! \Pi_4$; $\cdots$; $P^{(N)} \rightarrow N! \Pi_N$, thus obtaining the true BE limit. Furthermore we also confirm the fact that in the BE limit, the enhancement factor determined by $P^{(N+1)}/P^{(N)}$ turns out to be proportional to $N + 1$, as it should exactly be. Now let us consider the Fermi limit when $\kappa \rightarrow -1$. We know that $[2] \rightarrow -2$ in this limit. Hence $2 + [2]$ vanishes. Since this is the common factor for the general probability density $P^{(n)}$, we conclude that all the probabilities vanishes for $n = 2, 3, \dots, N$ in the Fermi limit, which is in accordance with the Pauli exclusion principle for standard fermions. In this manner, we are in a sense confirming the correctness of all the analysis above.

Although we may not explicitly need the closed form for the probabilities, it is important to recognize that the κ -deformed permutation or exchange of particles is strictly related to the existence of the basic number. In the following Section, we will establish the connection between the basic number, in the symmetric formulation, and the deformed algebra.

Finally, let us mention that there arises an interesting situation in the case of two spatial dimensions, due to the fact that permutation is equivalent to a rotation followed by a translation. The case of such an exchange in two dimensional space corresponds to the symmetry of the braid group [4] but we shall not concern ourselves with this feature at this time.

3 Basic number implies the deformed algebra

Let us begin from the definition of the basic number in the case of particles obeying intermediate statistics, given in Eq.(6), and since the Fock state $|n\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the number operator N , we also have

$$[N]|n\rangle = [n]|n\rangle, \quad [n] = \frac{\kappa^n - \kappa^{-n}}{\kappa - \kappa^{-1}}, \quad (23)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It is readily seen from the definition that the basic numbers satisfy the relation

$$[n + 1] = \kappa[n] + \kappa^{-n}. \quad (24)$$

We may now identify the operator $[N]$ in terms of the creation and annihilation operators by the definition:

$$[N] = a^\dagger a, \quad (25)$$

where it is expected that the creation operator raises the number of quanta and the annihilation operator lowers the number of the quanta in the Fock state representation, so that we may express the raising and lowering property as

$$a^\dagger |n\rangle = C'_n |n + 1\rangle, \quad a |n\rangle = C_n |n - 1\rangle, \quad (26)$$

where C_n, C'_n are constants to be determined. We observe that Eq.(25) is our second assumption. This last assumption may not truly or necessarily be required since $[N]$ must go to N in the limit when $\kappa \rightarrow 1$. The constants C_n, C'_n are determined in the customary manner as

$$C_n = \sqrt{[n]}, \quad C'_n = \sqrt{[n + 1]}. \quad (27)$$

Next we obtain the relations

$$[N]a^\dagger |n\rangle = [N]C'_n |n + 1\rangle = [n + 1]C'_n |n + 1\rangle, \quad (28)$$

and

$$a^\dagger [N] |n\rangle = a^\dagger [n] |n\rangle = [n]a^\dagger |n\rangle = [n]C'_n |n + 1\rangle, \quad (29)$$

in the derivation of which, we notice with care that eigenvalues commute, while operators in general need not. We also note that the above relation is true for any state $|n\rangle$.

From this we derive the relations, valid for any $|n\rangle$,

$$([N]a^\dagger - \kappa a^\dagger [N]) |n\rangle = a^\dagger \kappa^{-N} |n\rangle, \quad (30)$$

which can be expressed as an operator identity:

$$([N]a^\dagger - \kappa a^\dagger [N]) = a^\dagger \kappa^{-N}. \quad (31)$$

If we employ the definition, Eq.(25), the above can be written in the form

$$a^\dagger a a^\dagger - \kappa a^\dagger a^\dagger a = a^\dagger \kappa^{-N}, \quad (32)$$

noting that this is an operator relation. From this, the deformed algebra follows immediately:

$$a a^\dagger - \kappa a^\dagger a = \kappa^{-N}, \quad (33)$$

which is an operator identity.

Hence we have established the connection between the basic number and the deformed algebra i.e., the basic number introduced in Section 2 implies that the creation and annihilation operators obey the deformed algebra.

At this point, we may make a general remark in light of our formulation. What we have presented is the algebra describing deformed oscillators. Thus we infer that the three cases $+1$, -1 , and κ corresponding to BE, FD, and intermediate statistics respectively, are in one-to-one correspondence with the oscillator algebras as follows:

$$a a^\dagger - a^\dagger a = 1; \quad b b^\dagger + b^\dagger b = 1; \quad a a^\dagger - \kappa a^\dagger a = \kappa^{-N}, \quad (34)$$

where the variable parameter κ may be complex in general with end points (limits) -1 and $+1$. Let us observe that the above third equation is formally equivalent to symmetric q -deformed oscillator algebra [13,22]. This might be asserted as our basic premise.

We are merely attempting to point out the above correspondence. We just note that this is analogous to the Bargmann-Wigner holomorphic representation [26]. However, the pertinence of the connection with the two dimensional case is immediately evident. Planar physical systems, in two space and one time dimensions, display many peculiar and interesting quantum properties owing to the unusual structure of rotation, Lorentz and Poincare groups and thus lead to a theory of anyons. That is, in the case of two dimensions, as pointed out extensively by Ref.[4], the exchange or permutation of two objects is described by the braid group with its direct consequences. In the present work we do not explicitly deal with the case of two space dimensions. We consider only the deformed algebra without resorting to any specific dimensions and demonstrate that the deformation of the algebra, derived from the properties of the basic numbers and strictly connected to κ -permutations, can lead to intermediate statistics with a deeper physical insight with respect to the standard formulation of the q -deformed oscillators.

Now we proceed to study the consequence of the deformed algebra for the particles of intermediate statistics.

4 Intermediate statistics: mean occupation number

Let us start from the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_i N_i (E_i - \mu), \quad (35)$$

It is important to recognize that the latter Hamiltonian, in spite of the appearance, does include deformation, as will become evident from the form of the average occupation number.

Next we study the mean value of the occupation number in the standard manner. We begin by introducing the mean value, after omitting the subscript for convenience,

$$\kappa^n = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \kappa^N). \quad (36)$$

Next, we consider the expectation value

$$[n] = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta \sum (E_i - \mu)(N_i + 1)} a a^\dagger), \quad (37)$$

where n is a real number that represents the mean occupational number of particles.

We may now use the cyclic property of the trace, and the relation $a f(N) = f(N + 1) a$, which can be established in a straightforward manner for any polynomial function $f(N)$, in order to deal with the right hand side above. Proceeding in the standard manner, we obtain

$$\frac{[n]}{[n + 1]} = e^{-\eta}, \quad (38)$$

where $\eta = \beta(E - \mu)$. This is an important step. Proceeding further, we obtain, after some algebra,

$$[n] = \frac{\kappa^{-n}}{e^\eta - \kappa}. \quad (39)$$

We may now proceed to determine the occupation number n . From the above equation, we obtain

$$(\kappa^2)^n = \frac{e^\eta - \kappa^{-1}}{e^\eta - \kappa}, \quad (40)$$

which leads to the result

$$n = \frac{1}{2 \ln \kappa} \ln \left(\frac{e^\eta - \kappa^{-1}}{e^\eta - \kappa} \right), \quad (41)$$

which expresses the form of the mean occupation number for the particles obeying interpolating statistics. It is now evident that the chosen Hamiltonian does indeed include the deformation. Furthermore, let us point out that, although the parameter κ can be in general a complex number, the mean occupational number n expressed in Eq.(41) is effectively a real number. In fact, as before, if we write $\kappa = \exp(i\alpha)$ where α is a real number, it is easy to show that the factor in parenthesis of Eq.(41) can be written as $(e^\eta - \kappa^{-1})/(e^\eta - \kappa) = \exp(i 2n\alpha)$.

In this context, it is relevant to observe that the statistical origin of such q -deformation lies in the modification, relative to the standard case, of the number of states W of the system corresponding to the set of occupational number n_i [12]. In the subject literature, other statistical generalizations are present, such as the so-called nonextensive thermostatics or superstatistics with a completely different origin [28,29,30,31,32,33].

Now we are going to further express the occupation number in an approximate form. At this scope, for simplicity, in the following we limit our considerations by requiring the parameter of the deformation to be a real number, more explicitly, taking the projection of κ into the real axis. Therefore, hereafter we will set $\bar{\kappa} = \text{Re}[\kappa]$.

From Eq.(41), we arrive at the result in the form of a power series

$$\begin{aligned} n = \frac{1}{y} + \left(\frac{1}{3y^3} + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2y^2} + \frac{1}{6y} \right) \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3y^3} + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2y^2} + \frac{1}{6y} \right) \epsilon^3 \\ + \left(\frac{1}{5y^5} + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2y^4} + \frac{13}{18y^3} + \frac{7\bar{\kappa}}{12y^2} + \frac{29}{180y} \right) \epsilon^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $y = e^\eta - \bar{\kappa}$ and we have taken $\bar{\kappa} = 1 - \epsilon$, $\epsilon \ll 1$. At this point, if we use the approximation by retaining only the leading term we then arrive at the

form

$$n \approx \frac{1}{e^\eta - \bar{\kappa}}. \quad (43)$$

This is the form familiar from the work of Ref.[7] which, albeit the approximation, contains many interesting applications. More generally, for ϵ not very small, we have the series form in Eq.(42) describing the various powers of the deformation parameter ϵ .

It might now appear as though we may have obtained the above result for both the boson B-type and the fermion F-type intermediate statistics. Accordingly, we need to examine the B-type and F-type cases separately.

Let us first consider the B-type case of intermediate statistics. The algebra is as before, with the occupation number given in Eq.(41), but the range may now be taken as $0 \leq \bar{\kappa} \leq 1$ with the understanding that $\bar{\kappa} = 1$ corresponds to the BE case. The series form for n is given by Eq.(42) and the approximate form is given by Eq.(43). Ref. [7] provides many applications of the B-type intermediate statistics.

We may now consider the F-type particles. Now the range for the F-type particles is $-1 \leq \bar{\kappa} \leq 0$. Setting $\bar{\kappa} = -\lambda$, we may modify Eq.(40) as

$$(\lambda^2)^n = \frac{e^\eta + \lambda^{-1}}{e^\eta + \lambda}. \quad (44)$$

We may express the range in the form $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. The algebra above leads to the relation

$$n = \frac{1}{2 \ln \lambda} \ln \left(1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{e^\eta + \lambda} \right), \quad (45)$$

where we have set $\bar{\kappa} = -\lambda = 1 - \epsilon$, $\bar{\kappa}^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1} = 1 + \epsilon$, which is appropriate for the case of the F-type intermediate statistics. Indeed many relations can be modified by the replacement $\bar{\kappa} \rightarrow -\lambda$ to go from the B-type to the F-type.

For the F-type intermediate statistics, the result as in Eq.(39) is still valid and consequently, the mean occupation number is given by the power series form exactly as in Eq.(42) except that now we have $y = e^\eta + \lambda$ for the F-type anyons. The approximate form for the occupation number is thus

$$n \approx \frac{1}{e^\eta + \lambda}. \quad (46)$$

The detailed thermodynamic properties stemming from this form, such as the equation of state, virial expansion etc. for the F-type intermediate statistics are as described in Ref. [7].

It is also observed that the equality of the specific heats of B-type and F-type intermediate statistics particles [27] also prevails as shown in Ref. [7], if we utilize the approximate forms for the occupation numbers. This is a very interesting result and may be true more generally for the exact forms of the occupation numbers formulated in the present work.

5 The occupation number as an infinite continued fraction

It is possible to obtain an expression for the mean occupation number in terms of the infinite continued fraction (CF). Let us begin with the series expression which may be expressed conveniently in the form

$$n = \frac{\alpha_1}{y} + \frac{\alpha_2}{y^2} + \frac{\alpha_3}{y^3} + \cdots, \quad (47)$$

where we have set $y = e^\eta - \bar{\kappa}$ and α_1, α_2 etc. are determined from the previous sections, specifically Eq.(42), such as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 1 + \frac{1}{6} \left(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^3 + \frac{29}{30} \epsilon^4 + \cdots \right), \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2} \left(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^3 + \frac{7}{6} \epsilon^4 + \cdots \right), \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^3 + \frac{13}{6} \epsilon^4 + \cdots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

etc. by combining terms containing various powers of ϵ in Eq.(42). There is a standard method by which this infinite series can be put in the form of CF. The method of determining the CF form of a function given by an infinite series is well-known in the literature [34,35].

We shall briefly summarize the procedure here. The general continued fraction of order r is of the form

$$C_r = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \frac{a_3}{b_3 + \cdots}}}, \quad (49)$$

where the constants $b_0, b_1, \dots, a_0, a_1, \dots$ can be determined by a straightforward procedure. The various convergents are $C_0, C_1, \dots$ corresponding to $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Accordingly we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= b_0 =: A_0/B_0; \\ C_1 &= b_0 + a_1/b_1 = \frac{b_0 b_1 + a_1}{b_1} =: \frac{A_1}{B_1}; \\ C_2 &= b_0 + a_1/(b_1 + a_2/b_2) = b_0 + a_1 b_2/(b_1 b_2 + a_2) =: \frac{A_2}{B_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

etc. The parameters A_n, B_n satisfy the two-term recurrence relations [35]:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= b_n A_{n-1} + a_n A_{n-2}; \quad A_{-1} = 1; \\ B_n &= b_n B_{n-1} + a_n B_{n-2}; \quad B_{-1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

By solving the recurrence relations, the general CF can be determined. We may quote two examples of this procedure. The standard sine series may be expressed in the form of a CF as:

$$\sin x = \frac{x}{1 + \frac{x^2}{2 \cdot 3 - \frac{x^2 + 2 \cdot 3x^2}{4 \cdot 5 - x^2 + \dots}}}. \quad (52)$$

Furthermore, we can also deal with the inverse problem, i.e., Given the standard series form of the cosine function,

$$\cos x = 1 - x^2/2! + x^4/4! + \dots, \quad (53)$$

we can employ the above procedure and obtain the CF form for the cosine function as:

$$\cos x = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x^2}{2 \cdot 1 - \frac{x^2 + 2x^2}{4 \cdot 3 - x^2 + \dots}}}. \quad (54)$$

Employing this procedure for our present problem, after some algebra, the final result can be expressed by accordingly obtaining various convergents (approximants):

$$n_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{y}, \quad (55)$$

$$n_2 = -\frac{\alpha_2 y}{\alpha_1 y + \alpha_2}, \quad (56)$$

$$n_3 = -\frac{\alpha_3 y}{\alpha_2 y + \alpha_3}, \quad (57)$$

etc.

In the literature on CF, the convergents, which may be obtained in a straightforward manner after some algebra, play an important role.

The general form of the CF is given by the form C_r as in Eq.(49) and the procedure can be extended to many convergents. The meaning of the convergent or the approximant is evident.

Now the question which might arise is: what is the advantage of CF? Other than the elegant mathematical form, we remark that there is a distinct advantage. The Pade approximant is a well-known application. Moreover there is a theorem [34], involving the convergents $n_1, n_2, n_3 \dots$ which may be stated as:

$$n_1 < n_3 < \dots < n \quad \text{and} \quad n_2 > n_4 \dots > n. \quad (58)$$

This immediately provides a clarifying definition of successive approximations i.e., the above inequality tells us how to obtain successive approximations of the quantity n . Indeed, the above tells us immediately that the exact form of n lies between n_1 and n_2 , hence its importance. We can thus establish that the exact n is bigger than the first convergent $n_1 = \alpha_1/y$ but smaller than n_2 obtained above.

6 Summary and conclusion

We have presented our formulation which leads to the conclusion that the intermediate statistics, defined in terms of κ -permutation, can be viewed as strictly connection with the deformed algebra. We have been able to deduce this feature in two steps: first, in Section 2, we have found that the exchange symmetry characterizing the intermediate statistics can be expressed in terms of basic numbers; secondly, in Section 3, we have seen that the basic numbers naturally lead to the deformed algebra. Although the quoted connection between κ -permutation and deformed algebra cannot be supported at this stage by a close mathematical demonstration, in our opinion, the above results contribute to a deeper insight into the open question related to the physical interpretation of the deformed quantum algebra.

Moreover, in order to describe intermediate statistics, continuously interpolating anywhere between BE and FD statistics, we have introduced the param-

eter κ as a variable parameter, the statistics determining parameter, so that $\kappa = +1, -1$ represent the extreme values which correspond to the standard BE and FD statistics (boson and fermion oscillator algebra) in the limits. Our formulation of the mean occupation number and other thermodynamic parameters in terms of the infinite continued fraction is a new feature, not known in the literature. Its importance stems from the possibility of approximations i.e., the validity of approximations in the theory.

The different behavior from the undeformed quantum theory can be dealt with in the statistical behavior of a complex systems, intrinsically contained in q -deformation, whose underlying dynamics is spanned in many-body interactions and/or long-time memory effects. This aspect is outlined in several papers. Among the others, for example, in Ref.[36] it has been shown that q -deformation plays a significant role in understanding higher-order effects in many-body nuclear interactions.

References

- [1] J. Leinass, J. Myrheim J, Nuovo Cimento B 37 (1977) 1.
- [2] S.S. Chern et al, Physics and Mathematics of Anyons, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
- [3] Y. Aharanov, D. Bohm, Phys. Rev 115 (1959) 485.
- [4] A. Lerda, Anyons, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [5] F. Wilczek (ed.), Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.
- [6] D. Arovas, J. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek, Nuc. Phys. B 251 (1985) 117; D. Arovas, A. Shapere, F. Wilczek (eds.), Geometric phases in physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.
- [7] R. Acharya, P. Narayana Swamy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994) 7247 and references cited therein.
- [8] A. Acharya, P. Narayana Swamy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 2527.
- [9] L. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) L873.
- [10] A. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) 4581.
- [11] P. Narayana Swamy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10 (1996) 683; A. Lavagno, P. Narayana Swamy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 23 (2009) 235.
- [12] A. Lavagno, P. Narayana Swamy, Phys. Rev. E 61 (2000) 1218.
- [13] A. Lavagno, P. Narayana Swamy, Phys. Rev. E 65 (2002) 036101.

- [14] A. Lavagno, A.M. Scarfone, P. Narayana Swamy, Eur. Phys. J. C 47 (2006) 253; J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 8635.
- [15] A. Lavagno, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 244014.
- [16] A. Lavagno, Phys. Lett. A 301 (2002) 13; A. Lavagno, Physica A 305 (2002) 238.
- [17] A. Lavagno, P. Quarati, Phys. Lett. B 489 (2001) 47; A. Lavagno, P. Quarati, Nucl. Phys. B [PS] 87 (2000) 209; G. Kaniadakis, A. Lavagno, P. Quarati, Phys. Lett. A 227 (1997) 227.
- [18] W.M. Alberico et al., Physica A 387 (2008) 467; M. Di Toro et al., Nucl. Phys. A 775 (2006) 102; A. Drago, A. Lavagno, P. Parenti, Ap. J. 659 (2007) 1519; L. Bonanno, A. Drago, A. Lavagno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 242301; W.M. Alberico, A. Lavagno, Eur. Phys. J. A 40 (2009) 313.
- [19] A. Algin, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P10009; A. Algin, J. Stat. Mech. (2009) P04007; A. Algin, E. Arslan, Phys. Lett. 372 (2008) 2767; A. Algin, M. Baser, Physica A 387 (2008) 1088.
- [20] G. Su, S. Cai, J. Chen, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 045007; S. Cai, G. Su, J. Chen, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 11245; G. Su, J. Chen, L. Chen, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 36 (2003) 10141.
- [21] L. Frappat et al, Phys. Lett. B 369 (1996) 313.
- [22] M. Chaichian et al, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993) 4017.
- [23] R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton, M. Sands, The Feynman lectures on Physics, Vol.3., Addison-Wesley Publishing, Reading MA, 1965.
- [24] H. Exton, q -Hypergeometric functions and Applications, Ellis Horwood Publishers Limited, Chichester, 1983.
- [25] I. Gradshteyn, I. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [26] V. Bargmann, E.P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 34 (1948) 211.
- [27] R. May, Phys. Rev. 135 (1964) A1515.
- [28] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52 (1988) 479.
See also <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm> for a regularly updated bibliography on the subject.
- [29] M. Gell-Mann, C. Tsallis, eds., Nonextensive Entropy: Interdisciplinary Applications, Oxford University Press, New York, 2004.
- [30] C. Tsallis, Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.

- [31] U. Tirnakli, C. Beck, C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E 75 (2007) 040106; C. Tsallis et al., Physica A 381 (2007) 143; A. Pluchino, A. Rapisarda, C. Tsallis, Physica A 387 (2008) 3121; F. Caruso, C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 021102; U. Tirnakli, C. Tsallis, C. Beck, Phys. Rev. E 79 (2009) 056209; U. Tirnakli, D.F. Torres, Physica A 268 (1999) 225.
- [32] S. Abe, Phys. Lett. A 224 (1997) 326; S. Abe, C. Beck, E.G.D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. E 76 (2007) 031102; S. Abe, Physica A 368 (2006) 430; S. Abe, Physica A 344 (2004) 359; S. Abe, Phys. Rev. E 79 (2009) 041116.
- [33] C. Beck, E.G.D. Cohen, Physica A 322 (2003) 267; C. Beck, Physica A 342 (2004) 459; C. Beck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 064502; E. Van der Straeten, C. Beck, Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 051101.
- [34] H.S. Wall, Analytic theory of continued fractions, Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, 1948.
- [35] G. Andrews et al, Special Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [36] K.D. Sviratcheva, C. Bahri, A.I. Georgieva, J.P. Draayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 152501.